

## So How Do Radio Pulsars Slow-Down?

V. S. Beskin<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia

<sup>2</sup>Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny, Russia

In 1983 our team have shown that for zero longitudinal electric current circulating in the pulsar magnetosphere the energy losses  $W_{\text{tot}}$  vanish for any inclination angle  $\chi$  [1]. This effect (confirmed later by L.Mestel group [2]) results from full screening of the magneto-dipole radiation by magnetospheric plasma. This implies that the pulsar braking results fully from impact of the torque  $\mathbf{K}$  due to longitudinal currents.

On the other hand, rotating magnetized star can be slowed down only due to the action of the Ampère force connecting with surface currents  $\mathbf{J}_s$ :  $W_{\text{tot}} = -\mathbf{\Omega}\mathbf{K}$ , where

$$\mathbf{K} = \frac{R^3}{c} \int \mathbf{J}_s(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{n}) d\sigma = \frac{R^3}{4\pi} \int \{[\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{B}^{(3)}](\mathbf{B}^{(0)}\mathbf{n}) + [\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{B}^{(0)}](\mathbf{B}^{(3)}\mathbf{n})\} d\sigma. \quad (1)$$

Here the indices (0, 3) correspond to expansion powers on small parameter  $\varepsilon = \Omega R/c$ . Careful analysis for vacuum magneto-dipole radiation surprisingly shows that in Landau-Lifshits solution both terms play the role while in Deutsch solution only the first one (giving, certainly, the same well-known result).

Returning to magnetosphere filling with plasma, one can find that the torque acting on the star by surface currents  $\mathbf{J}_s$  closing the longitudinal electric currents [1]

$$K_{\parallel}^{\text{sur}} \approx -\frac{\mathbf{m}^2 \Omega^3}{c^3} i_s, \quad K_{\perp}^{\text{sur}} \approx -\frac{\mathbf{m}^2 \Omega^3}{c^3} \left(\frac{\Omega R}{c}\right) i_a, \quad I_r \dot{\Omega} = K_{\parallel}^{\text{A}} + (K_{\perp}^{\text{A}} - K_{\parallel}^{\text{A}}) \sin^2 \chi, \quad (2)$$

corresponds to first term in (1). Here we introduce two components of the torque  $\mathbf{K}$  parallel and perpendicular to the magnetic dipole  $\mathbf{m}$ . Besides, dimensionless current  $i = j_{\parallel}/j_{\text{GJ}}$  (normalization to ‘local’ Goldreich-Julian current density  $j_{\text{GJ}} = |\mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{B}|/2\pi$  with scalar product) also separated into symmetric and antisymmetric contributions,  $i_s$  and  $i_a$ , depending upon whether the direction of the current is the same in the north and south parts of the polar cap, or opposite.

Hence, to satisfy Spitkovsky’s relation  $\dot{\Omega} \propto (1 + \sin^2 \chi)$  we should have to assume too large antisymmetric current  $i_a \sim \varepsilon^{-1}$  while in reality  $i_a \sim \varepsilon^{-1/2}$ . Thus, it is necessary to assume additional contribution resulting from mismatch between magneto-dipole and magnetospheric radiation and corresponding to the second term in (1)

$$K_{\perp}^{\text{mag}} = -A \frac{B_0^2 \Omega^3 R^6}{c^3} i_a. \quad (3)$$

For  $i_a \sim \varepsilon^{-1/2}$  we obtain  $A \sim \varepsilon^{1/2}$ . This implies that for local GJ current  $i_a \approx 1$  for most inclination angles one can neglect the additional term  $K_{\perp}^{\text{mag}}$ , as was done in [1].

## References

- [1] V. S. Beskin, A. V. Gurevich & Ya. N. Istomin, *Sov. Phys. JETP* 58, 235 (1983)
- [2] L. Mestel, P. Panagi & S. Shibata, *MNRAS* 309, 388 (1999)