Josephson oscillations between exciton condensates in electrostatic traps



Massimo Rontani

CNR-NANO S3, Modena, Italy



L. J. Sham University of California San Diego, California





Josephson effect



superconductors



MODENA 53

CNR**NANO**



³He



polaritons

...and excitons?



probing the Josephson effect



<u>0</u>

et al.

2007

coherent transport of excitons?





two obvious questions

(1) does the exciton condensate exist?

(2) what are the (unambiguous) experimental signatures of coherent transport?

traps of optically pumped cold excitons in bilayers

Josephson oscillations





the very essence of Josephson effect

(a) two macroscopic quantum systems (Ξ_1 and Ξ_2)

(b) weak link between Ξ_1 and Ξ_2

(c) well defined phases, ϕ_1 and ϕ_2

$$\mathbf{J}_{s} = \mathbf{J}_{\max} \sin(\varphi_{1} - \varphi_{2})$$





(a) two macroscopic quantum systems (Ξ_1 and Ξ_2) (b) weak link between Ξ_1 and Ξ_2 (c) well defined phases, φ_1 and φ_2

outline

(a) indirect excitons in double quantum wells

(b) coupled electrostatic exciton traps

(c) electric control of the exciton phase

(d) double-slit experiment (time-correlated photon counting)

(e) one-shot vs average measurement



indirect excitons in coupled quantum wells

- optically pumped excitons in coupled
 QWs
- very long lifetime dipole energy: effective cooling *V(z)* • route to BEC ∂Z . V_{g} Ζ d ź idea: what if $V_{\alpha} = V_{\alpha}(x, y)?$



in-plane electrostatic traps

effective potential for excitons: $U_X(x,y) = -edF_z(x,y)$

S. Zimmermann *et al.*, PRB **56**, 13414 (1997).

advantages: trap design *in situ* manipulation





Hammack *et al.*, JAP **99**, 066104 (2006)

Chen *et al.*, PRB **74**, 045309 (2006); High *et al.*, Science **321**, 229 (2008)

in-plane electrostatic traps

effective potential for excitons:

 $U_{X}(x, y) = -edF_{z}(x, y)$

S. Zimmermann *et al*., PRB **56**, 13414 (1997).

advantages: trap design *in situ* manipulation



coupled exciton traps!



electrical control of the exciton phase

$$\Xi(x,y) = \left\langle \Psi_v^{\dagger}(x,y,0) \Psi_c(x,y,d) \right\rangle \qquad \qquad \Xi(x,y) = \sqrt{n_s} \exp(i\varphi)$$

gauge transformation:

accumo REC

$$V_g \to V_g - c^{-1} \partial \chi(t) / \partial t$$

$$\Psi_{v} \to \Psi_{v} \exp[ie\chi(x, y, 0)/\hbar c]$$
$$\Psi_{c} \to \Psi_{c} \exp[ie\chi(x, y, d)/\hbar c]$$

$$\varphi \rightarrow \varphi + e[\chi(x, y, d) - \chi(x, y, 0)]/\hbar c$$

e-h phases
not compensated
similar ideas:
Balatsky *et al.*, PRL 2004,
optical Aharonov-Bohm effect



electrical control of the exciton phase

assume BEC

$$\Xi(x, y) = \left\langle \Psi_v^{\dagger}(x, y, 0) \Psi_c(x, y, d) \right\rangle \qquad \Xi(x, y) = \sqrt{n_s} \exp(i\varphi)$$

gauge invariance requirement

$$\varphi = \varphi^{(0)} + \frac{edF_z}{\hbar} / \hbar \qquad \dot{\varphi} = -\frac{\mu}{\hbar}$$

the phase depends on time

$$\mathbf{J}_{s} = \frac{\hbar}{m} n_{s} \left(\nabla_{x,y} \varphi - e d \nabla_{x,y} F_{z} t / \hbar \right)$$

exciton supercurrent



Rontani & Sham PRB 80, 075309 (2009)

weak link between two traps



Ginzburg-Landau energy functional boundary conditions for the overlapping case

$$\mathbf{J}_{s} = \frac{\hbar}{\lambda m} n_{s} \sin\phi$$
$$\phi = \phi^{(0)} - \frac{t}{\hbar} \left[U_{X}(x_{2}) - U_{X}(x_{1}) \right]$$

$$\phi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$$

 λ penetration depth (evaluated via Gross-Pitaevskii eq.)



weak link between two traps



measurement

classical oscillating electric dipole moment $\langle \mathbf{P}(t) \rangle \neq 0$ Ostreich *et al.* 1996, Fernandez-Rossier *et al.* 1998, Olaya-Castro *et al.* 2001.

 $\mathbf{P}(t) = \int \Xi(x, y, t) [\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}] dx dy$

 $\Xi(x, y) = \left\langle \Psi_v^{\dagger}(x, y, 0) \Psi_c(x, y, d) \right\rangle$ $\Xi(x, y) = 0 \text{ normal phase}$

P(t) = P exp $[-i(\mu + E_X)t/\hbar]$ macroscopic noiseless current coherent radiation field (Glauber 1963) double-slit experiment ?

double-slit experiment



two flavors

"classic"

two independent lasers







locked relative phase average measure

random relative phase one-shot measure



measure interference fringes vs

angle θ



a "one-shot" double-slit experiment?



measure interference fringes vs

angle θ

time at fixed θ and $\Delta \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}$



a "one-shot" double-slit experiment?



angle θ

time at fixed θ and $\Delta \textit{U}$

polariton condensates

Wouters & Carusotto PRL 99, 140402 (2007) Sarchi *et al.* PRB 77, 125324 (2008) Shelykh *et al.* PRB 78, 041302(R) (2008)



caveats about one-shot measurements

interference even between decoupled traps

independent laser sources (Glauber 1963) cold atom traps (Andrews *et al.* 1997)

signal-to-noise ratio too much low exciton recombination = dephasing boot the second second

Andrews et al. Science 1997

average over experiment replice



time-correlated photon counting





time-correlated photon counting









time-correlated photon counting



$$\phi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$$
$$\Xi_1 \sim \Xi_2$$



goal: compute $I(\tau)$

$$I(\tau) = 2I_0 \left[1 + \left\langle \cos \phi(\tau) \right\rangle \right]$$





goal: compute $I(\tau)$

$$I(\tau) = 2I_0 \left[1 + \alpha \cos \phi_0(\tau) \right]$$



 α fringe visibility

$$\alpha = \left\langle \cos[\phi(\tau) - \phi_0(\tau)] \right\rangle$$

$$\alpha = (I_{\max} - I_{\min}) / (I_{\max} + I_{\min})$$
$$0 \le \alpha \le 1$$

 $\phi_0(\tau)$ average phase $\left\langle \sin[\phi(\tau) - \phi_0(\tau)] \right\rangle = 0$

dephasing mechanisms

 $\alpha < 1$



extrinsic dephasing mechanisms

- exciton recombination
- exciton-phonon scattering
- exciton-exciton scattering

negligible at:

short τ

low T





two-mode approximation (Smerzi et al. 1997)

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi(x, y, t) &= \Xi_1(x, y, N_1) \exp(i\varphi_1) + \Xi_2(x, y, N_2) \exp(i\varphi_2) \\ k &= (N_1 - N_2)/2 \\ \phi &= \varphi_1 - \varphi_2 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ canonically conjugated} \end{aligned}$$

$$H = E_c \frac{k^2}{2} - \frac{\delta_J}{2} \sqrt{N^2 - 4k^2} \cos \phi + \Delta Uk$$
$$\dot{\phi} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial (\hbar k)} \qquad \hbar \dot{k} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi} \qquad k = 0 \text{ in superconductors}$$

 $\delta_J =$ single-exciton tunneling energy

 $E_c = 2 d_{\mu_1}/dN_1 = \text{exciton "charging" energy}$ $N = N_1 + N_2$



intrinsic dephasing: quantum fluctuations



$$I(\tau) = 2I_0 \left[1 + \alpha \cos \phi_0(\tau) \right]$$





focus on exciton "plasma" oscillations





Smerzi *et al.*, PRL **79**, 4950 (1997)

exciton plasma oscillations

small oscillations

$$H_{\rm HO} \approx \frac{k^2}{2} \left(2\frac{\delta_J}{N} + E_C \right) + \frac{1}{4} \delta_J N \phi^2 + \Delta U k - \frac{\delta_J N}{2}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} k = (N_1 - N_2)/2 \\ \phi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2 \end{array} \right\} \text{ canonically conjugated}$$

 δ_{J} = single-exciton tunneling energy

 $E_c = 2 d_{\mu_1}/dN_1 = \text{exciton "charging" energy}$ $N = N_1 + N_2$



exciton "plasma" oscillations

small oscillations

$$\begin{split} \omega_{J} &= \hbar^{-1} \Big[\delta_{J} \big(NE_{c} / 2 + \delta_{J} \big) \Big]^{1/2} & \text{plasma frequency} \\ \big(k_{0}, \phi_{0} \big) &= \Big(-\Delta U N \delta_{J} / (\hbar \omega_{J})^{2}, 0 \Big) & \text{equilibrium position} \\ k &= (N_{1} - N_{2}) / 2 \\ \phi &= \varphi_{1} - \varphi_{2} \\ \end{split} \right\} \text{ canonically conjugated} \end{split}$$

 δ_{J} = single-exciton tunneling energy

 $E_c = 2 d_{\mu_1}/dN_1 = \text{exciton "charging" energy}$ $N = N_1 + N_2$



quantize H_{HO}

$$\hat{H}_{\rm HO} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(2\frac{\delta_J}{N} + E_C \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} + \frac{1}{4} \delta_J N \phi^2 + \Delta U \frac{\partial}{i \partial \phi} - \frac{\delta_J N}{2}$$

wave functions: periodical functions of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$

ground state = coherent state

$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \left(\Delta \phi \right)^2 \right\rangle \neq 0$$

 $\phi_0(\tau) \neq 0$



intrinsic dephasing: quantum fluctuations

$$\alpha = 1 - \left(\frac{E_C}{8\delta_J N}\right)^{1/2}$$
tunneling ($\delta_J N$) favors coherence
 E_c favors number states
 $\phi_0(\tau) = -\frac{\Delta U}{\hbar\omega_J} \sin(\omega_J \tau)$
 τ -
dependence
via ΔU
Rontani & Sham, PRB 80, 075309 (2009)

$$I(\tau) = 2I_0 \left[1 + \alpha \cos \phi_0(\tau) \right]$$





dipole energy ΔU crucial for detection



thermal smearing





Rontani & Sham, PRB 80, 075309 (2009)



estimate ω_J

Thomas-Fermi approximation for 2D traps

compute E_{c} and δ_{J} compute α and ω_{J}

$$N_1 = 1000$$
 $n = 2.5 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ $na_B^2 << 1$

 $\alpha = 0.94$ $2\pi / \omega_J = 2 \text{ ns} <<$ exciton lifetime

 $\hbar\omega_J / k_B = 20 \mathrm{mK}$



Rontani & Sham, PRB 80, 075309 (2009)

conclusions

- exciton Josephson effect
- plasma oscillations observable in ensemble measurements
- correlated photon counting setup
- ΔU handle for detection

M. Rontani and L. J. Sham, PRB 80, 075309 (2009)



www.nano.cnr.it